Ling 535 Syllabus
Fall 2015
Heidi Harley (hharley@email.arizona.edu)
Office hours: Thurs 10-11am or by appointment

Course Requirements:

30%: Answers to 6 {problem sets and/or Official Questions}. (OQs are a comprehension-type
   question based on that week's readings and/or class discussion; expected answer ≤ 1-2
   pgs.)
20%: In-class presentation
   Presenting a summary of a short paper from the literature to the class, 10+5 minute limit.
10%: Topic statement (1-2 pages) for the long paper (due: 10/27)
40%: Paper (10-20 pages) , due on the last day of class either:
   a) surveying a morphological phenomenon across three or more languages
   b) providing an in-depth description and/or analysis of some morphological phenomenon
   in some language(s)
   c) designing an experiment to look at a morphological problem with psycholinguistic or
   computational methodologies
   d) you propose something and I'll consider it

   Dates of class meetings:
   8/25 (today)
   9/1
   9/8
   9/15
   9/22
   9/29
   10/6
   10/13
   10/20
   10/27
   11/3 ROBERT HENDERSON present
   11/10 [USSISHKIN. HH at UTAustin]
   11/17
   11/24
   12/1
   12/8

   Some things we will read:
   For next week and the week after:

   2 chapters of Roland Pfau 2000, 2009 on Distributed morphology as a production
   model, accounting for German speech errors

Pfau, Roland (2009), *Grammar as processor. A Distributed Morphology account of spontaneous speech errors* (Linguistik Aktuell 137). Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Pfau, Roland (2000), *Features and categories in language production.* Ph.D.dissertation, Dept. of German Language & Literature, University of Frankfurt/Main.

Then, in some to be determined order:

Overviews:

McGinnis, Martha 2015 ms. Distributed Morphology overview

Bobaljik Distributed morphology overview
http://bobaljik.uconn.edu/papers/DM_Hndbk.pdf
Bobaljik, Jonathan. Under revision. Distributed Morphology. In Andrew Spencer ed. Handbook of Morphology [2nd ed.] Oxford: Oxford University Press,

Harley, H. (2012). "Semantics in Distributed Morphology." In *Semantics: An International Handbook of Natural Language Meaning, Volume 3.* C. Maienborn, K. von Heusinger and P. Portner, eds., pp 2151-2172. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Bobaljik vs. McCarthy and Optimal Paradigms

McCarthy, John J. 2005. Optimal Paradigms, in Laura Downing, Tracy Alan Hall & Renate Raffeleisen (eds.), Paradigms in phonological theory, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 170-210.

Bobaljik, Jonathan. 2009. Paradigms (Optimal and Otherwise): A case for skepticism. In Asaf Bachrach and Andrew Ira Nevins, eds. Inflectional Identity. Oxford University Press, 29-54.

Bobaljik vs. Stump, Williams, Paradigms and Rules of Referral

Williams, E. 1994. Remarks on lexical knowledge. *Lingua* 92.1, pp 7-34

Stump, Gregory T. 1993. 'On rules of referral', Language 69.3, pp. 449-479.
Williams, Edwin. 1994. 'Remarks on lexical knowledge', Lingua 92, pp. 7-34.

Stump, Gregory T. 2001. Inflectional Morphology: A theory of paradigm structure. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Bobaljik, J. D. (2002). Syncretism without paradigms: Remarks on Williams 1981, 1994. In *Yearbook of morphology 2001* (pp. 53-85). Springer Netherlands.

Stem storage, stem classes, suppletion,

Harley, H., & Blanco, M. T. (2013). Cycles, Vocabulary Items and stem forms in Hiaki. *Distributed Morphology Today*, 117.

Myler, Neil. 2015. Stem Storage? Not Proven: A Reply to Bermúdez-Otero 2015. *Linguistic Inquiry* 46.1. 173-186

Bermúdez-Otero, Ricardo. 2013. The Spanish lexicon stores stems with theme vowels, not roots with inflectional class features. Probus 25(1):3-103

Caballero, Gabriela. 2011. Morphologically conditioned stress assignment in Choguita Rarámuri. Linguistics 49.4, 749-790.

Mikkelsen and Hankamer vs Embick and Noyer on Danish definiteness marking

Mikkelsen, Line and Jorge Hankamer. 2005 When movement must be blocked: A reply to Embick and Noyer. *Linguistic Inquiry* 36:1, 85-125.

Embick v Svenonius regarding terminal nodes vs spans, also blocking

Embick, D. and A. Marantz. 2008. Architecture and blocking. *Linguistic Inquiry 39:1.*

Svenonius, Peter. 2012. Spanning.
http://www.hum.uit.no/a/svenonius/papers/spanning5.pdf

Embick, D. (2014) 'On the targets of phonological realization'. Submitted to V. Gribanova and S. Shih eds. *The Morphosyntax/Phonology Connection*.

Me vs the world on roots

Harley, H. 2014. On the identity of roots. *Theoretical Linguistics*

+replies from Anagnostopoulou, Alexiadou, Borer, Arregi & Nevins, deBelder, Rapoport Hovav, everyone

+my reply to the replies.

Other random things

Piggot, Glyne. Cyclic spell out and the typology of Word Minimality.
https://www.mcgill.ca/linguistics/files/linguistics/WordMinimality.pdf

Wood, Jim. 2014. Reflexive –st verbs in Icelandic. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory, 32.4 1387-1425.

Fruchter, J., & Marantz, A. (2015). Decomposition, Lookup, and Recombination: MEG evidence for the Full Decomposition model of complex visual word recognition. *Brain and Language, 143*, 81-96.

Gribanova, Vera and Boris Harizanov. To appear. Locality and directionality in inward-sensitive allomorphy: Russian and Bulgarian. In The morphosyntax-phonology connection: locality and directionality, Vera Gribanova and Stephanie Shih (eds.)

Clahsen, H., Lueck, M. & A. Hahne 2007. How children process over-regularizations: Evidence from event-related brain potentials. Journal of Child Language 34: 601-622.

Caballero, Gabriela & Alice C. Harris (2012). A working typology of Multiple Exponence. In Ferenc Kiefer, Mária Ladányi & Péter Siptár (eds.), Current Issues in Morphological Theory: (Ir)Regularity, Analogy and Frequency. Selected papers from the 14 International Morphology Meeting, Budapest, 13-16 May 2010. John Benjamins.